arXiv:1404.4310v1 [math.QA] 16 Apr 2014

FINITE-DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS FOR A CLASS OF GENERALIZED INTERSECTION MATRIX LIE ALGEBRAS

YUN GAO[1] AND LI-MENG XIA[2,†]

[1]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, Canada
[2]Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University, P.R. China

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a class of generalized intersection matrix Lie algebras $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$, and prove that its every finite-dimensional semi-simple quotient is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$. Particularly, any finite dimensional irreducible $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ module must be an irreducible module of $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ and any finite dimensional irreducible $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ module must be an irreducible module of $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$.

Key Words: intersection matrix algebras; irreducible modules; quotient algebras; affine Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

In the early to mid-1980s, Peter Slodowy discovered that matrices like

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

were encoding the intersection form on the second homology group of Milnor fibres for germs of holomorphic maps with an isolated singularity at the origin [S1], [S2]. These matrices were like the generalized Cartan matrices of Kac-Moody theory in that they had integer entries, 2's along the diagonal, and $m_{i,j}$ was negative if and only if $m_{j,i}$ was negative. What was new, however, was the presence of positive entries off the diagonal. Slodowy called such matrices generalized intersection matrices:

Definition 1.1. ([S1]) *An $n \times n$ integer-valued matrix $M = (m_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ is called a generalized intersection matrix (***gim***) if*

$m_{i,i} = 2$,

$m_{i,j} < 0$ *if and only if* $m_{j,i} < 0$, *and*

$m_{i,j} > 0$ *if and only if* $m_{j,i} > 0$

for $1 \le i, j \le n$ *with* $i \ne j$.

Slodowy used these matrices to define a class of Lie algebras that encompassed all the Kac-Moody Lie algebras:

†the corresponding author's email: xialimeng@ujs.edu.cn.

Definition 1.2 (see [BrM], [S1]). *Given an $n \times n$ generalized intersection matrix $M = (m_{i,j})$, define a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, called a generalized intersection matrix (GIM) algebra and denoted by* $\mathbf{gim}(M)$*, with:*

generators: $e_1, ..., e_n, f_1, ..., f_n, h_1, ...h_n$,

relations: (R1) for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$[h_i, e_j] = m_{i,j}e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -m_{i,j}f_j, \quad [e_i, f_i] = h_i,$$

(R2) for $m_{i,j} \leq 0$,

$$[e_i, f_j] = 0 = [f_i, e_j], \qquad (\mathrm{ad}e_i)^{-m_{i,j}+1}e_j = 0 = (\mathrm{ad}f_i)^{-m_{i,j}+1}f_j,$$

(R3) for $m_{i,j} > 0$, $i \neq j$,

$$[e_i, e_j] = 0 = [f_i, f_j], \qquad (\mathrm{ad}e_i)^{m_{i,j}+1}f_j = 0 = (\mathrm{ad}f_i)^{m_{i,j}+1}e_j.$$

If the M that we begin with is a generalized Cartan matrix, then the $3n$ generators and the first two groups of axioms, (R1) and (R2), provide a presentation of the Kac-Moody Lie algebras [GbK], [C], [K].

Slodowy and, later, Berman showed that the GIM algebras are also isomorphic to fixed point subalgebras of involutions on larger Kac- Moody algebras [S1], [Br]. So, in their words, the GIM algebras lie both "beyond and inside" Kac-Moody algebras.

Further progress came in the 1990s as a byproduct of the work of Berman and Moody, Benkart and Zelmanov, and Neher on the classification of root-graded Lie algebras [BrM], [BnZ], [N]. Their work revealed that some families of intersection matrix (***im***) algebras, were universal covering algebras of well understood Lie algebras. An ***im*** algebra generally is a quotient algebra of a GIM algebra associated to the ideal generated by homogeneous vectors those have long roots (i.e., $(\alpha, \alpha) > 2$).

A handful of other researchers also began engaging these new algebras. For example, Eswara Rao, Moody, and Yokonuma used vertex operator representations to show that ***im*** algebras were nontrivial [EMY]. Analogous compact forms of ***im*** algebras arising from conjugations over the complex field were considered in [G]. Peng found relations between ***im*** algebras and the representations of tilted algebras via Ringel-Hall algebras [P]. Berman, Jurisich, and Tan showed that the presentation of GIM algebras could be put into a broader framework that incorporated Borcherds algebras [BrJT].

In present paper, we study the GIM algebra $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ constructed through intersection matrix

$$M_n = (m_{i,j})_{n\times n} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_{n\times n}$$

where $n \geq 3$, and we build the representation theory of finite-dimensional modules for $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$.

Generally, $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ can be illustrated by the following diagram (also called Dynkin diagram):



where the numbered circles present the indices of e_i, f_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, the solid line between two circles $i, i+1$ means that $m_{i,i+1} = m_{i+1,i} = -1$ and the unique dotted line means that $m_{1,n} = m_{n,1} = 1$. There is no line between any other pair (i, j), it means that $m_{i,j} = m_{j,i} = 0$.

2. Construction of epimorphisms

Definition 2.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a non-trivial semi-simple Lie algebra and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in \{0, 1\}$. If $n \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\mathbf{a}$ copies of sl_{2n}, $\mathbf{c}$ copies of sp_{2n} and $\mathbf{d}$ copies of so_{2n}, then we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is of $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type.*

Let $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{L}_k$ be of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$, in this section we construct an epimorphism from $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ to $\mathcal{L}$.

For convenience, we fix a Chevalley generators $\{e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for simple Lie algebra of type C_n or D_n, and $\{e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$ for A_{2n-1}. The associative Dynkin diagrams are



Lemma 2.2. *Let $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, a \neq \pm 1$. If $\{e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$ is the Chevalley generators of A_{2n-1}, let*

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a[f_{\alpha_{2n-1}}, \cdots [f_{\alpha_2}, f_{\alpha_1}] \cdots], \\ f_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a^{-1}[\cdots [e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{2n-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$e_i \mapsto e_{\alpha_i} - f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \qquad f_i \mapsto f_{\alpha_i} - e_{\alpha_{n+i}},$$

defines a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ to A_{2n-1}, where $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= e_{\alpha_i} - f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \\ Y_i &= f_{\alpha_i} - e_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \\ H_i &= [X_i, Y_i], \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Note that the subalgebra generated by $e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i} (1 \leq i \leq 2n-1, i \neq n)$ is isomorphic to $sl_n \oplus sl_n$. So the map

$$e_i \mapsto e_{\alpha_i} - f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \qquad f_i \mapsto f_{\alpha_i} - e_{\alpha_{n+i}},$$

restricted to $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ induces a diagonal injective map $\Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1: &\quad e_i \mapsto e_{\alpha_i}, \quad f_i \mapsto f_{\alpha_i},\\ \Phi_2: &\quad e_i \mapsto -f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \quad f_i \mapsto -e_{\alpha_{n+i}}.\end{aligned}$$

Particularly, Φ_1 can be viewed as an inclusion and Φ_2 can be viewed the composition of Chevalley involution and inclusion.

It is sufficient to check the relations involving elements X_n, Y_n, H_n.

$$\begin{aligned}H_i &= [e_{\alpha_i} - f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, f_{\alpha_i} - e_{\alpha_{n+i}}] = h_{\alpha_i} - h_{\alpha_{n+i}},\ \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1,\\ H_n &= [e_{\alpha_n} - f_{\alpha_{2n}}, f_{\alpha_n} - e_{\alpha_{2n}}] = h_{\alpha_n} + (h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

where $h_{\alpha_j} = [e_{\alpha_j}, f_{\alpha_j}]$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$. (*For the computation of H_n, see Remark 1.*) Then

$$\begin{aligned}[H_n, X_n] &= [h_{\alpha_n} + (h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}), e_{\alpha_n} - f_{\alpha_{2n}}]\\ &= \alpha_n(h_{\alpha_{n-1}} + 2h_{\alpha_n} + h_{\alpha_{n+1}})e_{\alpha_n} - (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{2n-1})(h_{\alpha_n} + (h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}))f_{\alpha_{2n}}\\ &= \alpha_n(h_{\alpha_n})e_{\alpha_n} - (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{2n-1})(h_{\alpha_1} + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}})f_{\alpha_{2n}}\\ &= 2X_n,\\ [H_n, Y_n] &= -\alpha_n(h_{\alpha_n})f_{\alpha_n} + (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{2n-1})(h_{\alpha_1} + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}})e_{\alpha_{2n}}\\ &= -2Y_n,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[H_n, X_1] &= \alpha_1(h_{\alpha_n} + (h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}))e_{\alpha_1} - (-\alpha_{n+1})(h_{\alpha_n} + (h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}))f_{\alpha_{n+1}}\\ &= \alpha_1(h_{\alpha_1} + h_{\alpha_2})e_{\alpha_1} + \alpha_{n+1}(2h_{\alpha_n} + h_{\alpha_{n+1}} + h_{\alpha_{n+2}})f_{\alpha_{n+1}}\\ &= X_1,\\ [H_n, Y_1] &= -\alpha_1(h_{\alpha_1} + h_{\alpha_2})f_{\alpha_1} - \alpha_{n+1}(2h_{\alpha_n} + h_{\alpha_{n+1}} + h_{\alpha_{n+2}})e_{\alpha_{n+1}}\\ &= -Y_1,\\ [H_n, X_{n-1}] &= \alpha_{n-1}(h_{\alpha_n} + (h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}))e_{\alpha_{n-1}} - (-\alpha_{2n-1})(h_{\alpha_n} + (h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}))f_{\alpha_{2n-1}}\\ &= \alpha_{n-1}(h_{\alpha_{n-2}} + h_{\alpha_{n-1}} + 2h_{\alpha_n})e_{\alpha_{n-1}} + \alpha_{2n-1}(h_{\alpha_{2n-2}} + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}})f_{\alpha_{2n-1}}\\ &= -X_{n-1},\\ [H_n, Y_{n-1}] &= -\alpha_{n-1}(h_{\alpha_{n-2}} + h_{\alpha_{n-1}} + 2h_{\alpha_n})f_{\alpha_{n-1}} - \alpha_{2n-1}(h_{\alpha_{2n-2}} + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}})e_{\alpha_{2n-1}}\\ &= Y_{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Similar argument implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&[H_1, X_n] = X_n, [H_1, Y_n] = -Y_n, [H_{n-1}, X_n] = -X_n, [H_{n-1}, Y_n] = Y_n,\\ &[X_1, X_n] = [Y_1, Y_n] = [Y_{n-1}, X_n] = [X_{n-1}, Y_n] = 0,\\ &[X_i, [X_i, Y_j]] = [Y_i, [Y_i, X_j]] = 0, \text{for } \{i, j\} = \{1, n\},\\ &[X_i, [X_i, X_j]] = [Y_i, [Y_i, Y_j]] = 0, \text{for } \{i, j\} = \{n-1, n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the following relation is clear:

$$[X_n, X_i] = [X_n, Y_i] = [Y_n, X_i] = [Y_n, Y_i] = 0$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. □

Remark 1. *Suppose that $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Delta^+$ in A_{2n-1} and $[e_\alpha, f_\alpha] = \alpha^\vee, [e_\beta, f_\beta] = \beta^\vee$. Then it holds that $[[e_\alpha, e_\beta], [f_\beta, f_\alpha]] = \alpha^\vee + \beta^\vee$. Repeatedly using this formula, we infer that*

$$[f_{\alpha_{2n}}, e_{\alpha_{2n}}] = h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{2n-1}}.$$

Together with that $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{2n-1} \pm \alpha_n$ is not a root, we obtain the computation of H_n.

One can also understand it in an easy way: Let A_{2n-1} be the matrix Lie algebra sl_{2n} and $e_{\alpha_i} = E_{i,i+1}, f_{\alpha_i} = E_{i,i+1}$ for $i < 2n$. Then $e_{\alpha_{2n}} = aE_{2n,1}, f_{\alpha_{2n}} = a^{-1}E_{1,2n}$, which implies that

$$[f_{\alpha_{2n}}, e_{\alpha_{2n}}] = E_{1,1} - E_{2n,2n} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} (E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} h_{\alpha_i}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $\{e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i} | 1 \le i \le n\}$ is the Chevalley generators of C_n, let*

$$\begin{aligned} E &= [f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots [f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_n}] \cdots], \\ F &= [\cdots [e_{\alpha_n}, e_{\alpha_1}] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \mapsto e_{\alpha_i}, \qquad f_i \mapsto f_{\alpha_i}, \qquad 1 \le i \le n-1, \\ e_n \mapsto E, \qquad f_n \mapsto F, \end{aligned}$$

defines a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ to C_n.

Proof. It is sufficient to check the relation involving elements E, F. Actually, F (respectively E) is a root vector of highest short root (respectively lowest short root). Then

$$\begin{aligned} [F, e_{\alpha_i}] = [E, f_{\alpha_i}] = 0 \qquad \forall\, 2 \le i \le n-1, \\ [F, f_{\alpha_i}] = [E, e_{\alpha_i}] = 0 \qquad \forall\, 1 \le i \le n-2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [F, [F, e_{\alpha_1}]] = [e_{\alpha_1}, [e_{\alpha_1}, F]] = 0, \qquad [E, [E, f_{\alpha_1}]] = [f_{\alpha_1}, [f_{\alpha_1}, E]] = 0, \\ [F, [F, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}]] = [f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, [f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F]] = 0, \qquad [E, [E, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}]] = [e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, [e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E]] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $H := [E, F] = -(2h_{\alpha_n} + h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{n-1}})$, where $h_{\alpha_i} = [e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i}]$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [H, E] &= (-\alpha_1 - \cdots - \alpha_n)(-(2h_{\alpha_n} + h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{n-1}}))E = 2E, \\ [H, F] &= (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n)(-(2h_{\alpha_n} + h_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_{n-1}}))F = -2F, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [H, e_{\alpha_1}] = e_{\alpha_1}, \qquad [H, f_{\alpha_1}] = -f_{\alpha_1}, \\ [H, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}] = -e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \qquad [H, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}] = f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ [h_{\alpha_1}, E] = E, \qquad [h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E] = -E, \\ [h_{\alpha_1}, F] = -F, \qquad [h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F] = F. \end{aligned}$$

The above calculation implies our statement and the proof is completed. □

Lemma 2.4. *If $\{e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the Chevalley generators of D_n, let*

$$\begin{aligned} E &= [f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots [f_{\alpha_2}, f_{\alpha_n}] \cdots], \\ F &= [\cdots [e_{\alpha_n}, e_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \mapsto e_{\alpha_i}, \qquad f_i \mapsto f_{\alpha_i}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ e_n \mapsto E, \qquad f_n \mapsto F, \end{aligned}$$

defines a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ to D_n.

Proof. It is sufficient to check the relation involving elements E, F. Actually, F (respectively E) is a root vector of highest root (respectively lowest root) of subalgebra generated by $e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i} (2 \leq i \leq n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [F, e_{\alpha_i}] = [E, f_{\alpha_i}] = 0 \qquad \forall\, 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ [F, f_{\alpha_i}] = [E, e_{\alpha_i}] = 0 \qquad \forall\, 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[F, [F, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}]] = [f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, [f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F]] = 0, \qquad [E, [E, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}]] = [e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, [e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E]] = 0.$$

Notice that $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ is a root, and neither of $-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $2\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ and $\alpha_1 + 2(\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n)$ is a root, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} [F, [F, e_{\alpha_1}]] = [e_{\alpha_1}, [e_{\alpha_1}, F]] = 0, \qquad [E, [E, f_{\alpha_1}]] = [f_{\alpha_1}, [f_{\alpha_1}, E]] = 0, \\ [F, f_{\alpha_1}] = 0, \qquad [E, e_{\alpha_1}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $H := [E, F] = -(h_{\alpha_2} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_n})$, where $h_{\alpha_i} = [e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i}]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [H, E] &= (-\alpha_2 - \cdots - \alpha_n)(-(h_{\alpha_2} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_n}))E = 2E, \\ [H, F] &= (\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n)(-(h_{\alpha_2} + \cdots + h_{\alpha_n}))F = -2F, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [H, e_{\alpha_1}] = e_{\alpha_1}, \qquad [H, f_{\alpha_1}] = -f_{\alpha_1}, \\ [H, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}] = -e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \qquad [H, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}] = f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ [h_{\alpha_1}, E] = E, \qquad [h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E] = -E, \\ [h_{\alpha_1}, F] = -F, \qquad [h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F] = F. \end{aligned}$$

The above calculation implies our statement and the proof is completed. □

Next we begin to explicitly construct an epimorphism from $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ to $\mathcal{L}$. The construction is divided into four distinguish cases.

Case 1. $\mathcal{L}_k \cong sl_{2n}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq K$.

Let $\{e_{\alpha_i}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_i}^{[k]} | 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of A_{2n-1} in $\mathcal{L}_k$ for all k. Choose a K-tuple $\underline{a} := (a_1, \cdots, a_K) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^K$ such that $a_k \neq \pm 1$ and $a_k \neq a_j^{\pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k[f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}, f^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}] \cdots], \\ f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k^{-1}[\cdots [e^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq K$, where $e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}}$ and $f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}}$ are root vectors of lowest root and highest root, respectively.

Case 2. $\mathcal{L}_1 \cong sp_{2n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_k \cong sl_{2n}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq K$.

Let $\{e^{[1]}_{\alpha_i}, f^{[1]}_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of C_n in $\mathcal{L}_1$. and $\{e^{[k]}_{\alpha_i}, f^{[k]}_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of A_{2n-1} in $\mathcal{L}_k$ for $k \geq 2$. Choose a K-tuple $\underline{a} := (1, a_2, \cdots, a_K) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^K$ such that $a_k \neq \pm 1$ and $a_k \neq a_j^{\pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k[f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}, f^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}] \cdots], \\ f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k^{-1}[\cdots [e^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

for all $2 \leq k \leq K$, and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= f^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - [\cdots [[e^{[1]}_{\alpha_n}, e^{[1]}_{\alpha_1}], e^{[1]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}], \\ f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= e^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - [f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[1]}_{\alpha_2}, [f^{[1]}_{\alpha_1}, f^{[1]}_{\alpha_n}]] \cdots], \\ & e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0, \\ & f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $f^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}}$ and $e^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}}$ are root vectors of highest short root and lowest short root, respectively.

Case 3. $\mathcal{L}_1 \cong so_{2n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_k \cong sl_{2n}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq K$.

Let $\{e^{[1]}_{\alpha_i}, f^{[1]}_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of C_n in $\mathcal{L}_1$. and $\{e^{[k]}_{\alpha_i}, f^{[k]}_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of A_{2n-1} in $\mathcal{L}_k$ for $k \geq 2$. Choose a K-tuple $\underline{a} := (-1, a_2, \cdots, a_K) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^K$ such that $a_k \neq \pm 1$ and $a_k \neq a_j^{\pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k[f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}, f^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}] \cdots], \\ f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k^{-1}[\cdots [e^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

for all $2 \leq k \leq K$, and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= f^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - [\cdots [e^{[1]}_{\alpha_n}, e^{[1]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}], \\ f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= e^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - [f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[1]}_{\alpha_2}, f^{[1]}_{\alpha_n}] \cdots], \\ & e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0, \\ & f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. $\mathcal{L}_1 \cong so_{2n}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 \cong sp_{2n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_k \cong sl_{2n}$ for all $3 \leq k \leq K$.

Let $\{e^{[1]}_{\alpha_i}, f^{[1]}_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of D_n in $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\{e^{[2]}_{\alpha_i}, f^{[2]}_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of C_n in $\mathcal{L}_2$, and $\{e^{[k]}_{\alpha_i}, f^{[k]}_{\alpha_i} | 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of A_{2n-1} in $\mathcal{L}_k$ for $k \geq 3$. Choose a K-tuple $\underline{a} := (-1, 1, a_3, \cdots, a_K) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^K$ such that $a_k \neq \pm 1$ and $a_k \neq a_j^{\pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k[f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}, f^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}] \cdots], \\ f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= a_k^{-1}[\cdots [e^{[k]}_{\alpha_1}, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

for all $3 \leq k \leq K$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= f^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - [\cdots [e^{[1]}_{\alpha_n}, e^{[1]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}], \\
f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= e^{[1]}_{\alpha_n} - [f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[1]}_{\alpha_2}, f^{[1]}_{\alpha_n}] \cdots], \\
& e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = e^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0, \\
& f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = f^{[1]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0, \\
e^{[2]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= f^{[2]}_{\alpha_n} - [\cdots [[e^{[2]}_{\alpha_n}, e^{[2]}_{\alpha_1}], e^{[2]}_{\alpha_2}] \cdots, e^{[2]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}], \\
f^{[2]}_{\alpha_{2n}} &= e^{[2]}_{\alpha_n} - [f^{[2]}_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots [f^{[2]}_{\alpha_2}, [f^{[2]}_{\alpha_1}, f^{[2]}_{\alpha_n}]] \cdots], \\
& e^{[2]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = e^{[2]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0, \\
& f^{[2]}_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \cdots = f^{[2]}_{\alpha_{2n-1}} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In all above four cases, we define a homomorphism from $\mathbf{gim}(M_n) \to \mathcal{L}$ via

$$\Psi_{\underline{a}}: \qquad e_i \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(e^{[k]}_{\alpha_i} - f^{[k]}_{\alpha_{n+i}}\right),\ f_i \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(f^{[k]}_{\alpha_i} - e^{[k]}_{\alpha_{n+i}}\right),$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 2.5. *$\Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is a Lie algebra epimorphism.*

Proof. Let P_k be the projection from $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}_k$. By Lemmas 2.2-2.4, we infer that $P_k \circ \Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is a homomorphism for all $1 \leq k \leq K$, then $\Psi_{\underline{a}} = \oplus_{k=1}^{K} P_k \circ \Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. The detailed proof for that $\Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is an epimorphism, is very similar to the proof for Lemmas 5.1-5.4 in Section 5. □

3. Main results

Our main result can be stated by the following three theorems.

Theorem 3.1. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$, then there exists an ideal I of $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$, such that*

$$\mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I \cong \mathcal{L}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *If there exists an ideal I of $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$, such that $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I$ is finite-dimensional and semi-simple, then $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I$ is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$.*

The above two theorems will be proved in below sections.

Theorem 3.3. *(1) If V is an irreducible finite-dimensional module of an $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra, then V is an irreducible $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$-module.*

(2) If V is an irreducible $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$-module with finite dimension, then V is an irreducible module of some $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra.

Proof. (1) Suppose that V is an irreducible finite-dimensional module of $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, and that $\zeta : \mathcal{L} \to End(V)$ is the representation map. By Proposition 2.5, V is an irreducible $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$-module with representation map $\zeta \circ \Psi_{\underline{a}}$.

(2) Suppose that V is non-trivial and the representation is define by $\kappa : \mathbf{gim}(M_n) \to End(V)$ and the image of κ has Levi decomposition

$$Im(\kappa) = S \dot{+} H \dot{+} W,$$

where S is semi-simple, H is diagonal and W is nilpotent. Undoubtedly, it holds that $[S, H] = 0$.

Because V is non-trivial and there exists a positive integer k such that W^k trivially acts on V, we infer that $W \cdot V$ is a proper submodule and we may assume that $W = 0$.

Suppose that h is a Cartan subalgebra of S. Then V has a basis such that both $\kappa(\mathsf{h})$ and $\kappa(H)$ are diagonal, then any S-module must be an H-module. So V is irreducible as $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$-module if and only if V is irreducible as S-module. By Theorem 3.2, S has to be isomorphic to some $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra, and thus the statement holds. □

4. Evaluation representations of $A^{(1)}_{2n-1}$

Let g be the simple Lie algebra $sl_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then the affine Lie algebra of type $A^{(1)}_{2n-1}$ has a realization:

$$\hat{\mathsf{g}} = \mathsf{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c,$$

with bracket:

$$[c, \hat{\mathsf{g}}] = 0, \quad [x \otimes t^m, y \otimes t^k] = [x, y] \otimes t^{m+k} + m\delta_{m,-k}(x, y)c,$$

where $x, y \in \mathsf{g}, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(,)$ is a non-degenerate invariant form on g, which is a scalar of the Killing form.

The following is the Dynkin diagram of g:

Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{2n-1}\}$ be the associated prime root system, and $\dot{\Delta}$ be its root system. Set $\{E_\alpha, H_i | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}, 1 \le i \le 2n-1\}$ be the Chevalley basis of g. Then elements $E_{\pm\alpha_i} \otimes 1 (1 \le i \le 2n-1)$ and $E_{\pm\alpha^\flat} \otimes t^{\mp}$ are Chevalley generators of $\hat{\mathsf{g}}$, where $\alpha^\flat = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{2n-1}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{e}_1 = E_{\alpha_1} \otimes 1 - E_{-\alpha_{n+1}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{f}_1 = E_{-\alpha_1} \otimes 1 - E_{\alpha_{n+1}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{h}_1 = H_1 \otimes 1 - H_{n+1} \otimes 1,\\
&\hat{e}_2 = E_{\alpha_2} \otimes 1 - E_{-\alpha_{n+2}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{f}_2 = E_{-\alpha_2} \otimes 1 - E_{\alpha_{n+2}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{h}_2 = H_2 \otimes 1 - H_{n+2} \otimes 1,\\
&\cdots\\
&\hat{e}_n = E_{\alpha_n} \otimes 1 + E_{\alpha^\flat} \otimes t^{-1}, \quad \hat{f}_n = E_{-\alpha_n} \otimes 1 + E_{-\alpha^\flat} \otimes t, \quad \hat{h}_n = H_n \otimes 1 + (H_1 + \cdots + H_{2n-1}) \otimes 1 - c,
\end{aligned}$$

and let $\hat{\mathsf{g}}_{fp}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\{\hat{e}_i, \hat{f}_i | 1 \le i \le n\}$. $\hat{\mathsf{g}}_{fp}$ is called a fixed point subalgebra of $\hat{\mathsf{g}}$.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists an algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{gim}(M_n)) \to \mathcal{U}(\hat{\mathsf{g}}_{fp})$ via the action on generators:*

$$\phi(e_i) = \hat{e}_i, \quad \phi(f_i) = \hat{f}_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

More over, it induces a Lie algebra homomorphism (also denoted by ϕ) from $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ to $\hat{\mathsf{g}}_{fp}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{e}_i, \hat{f}_i] = \hat{h}_i, &\qquad i = 1, \cdots, n,\\
[\hat{h}_i, \hat{e}_j] = m_{i,j}\hat{e}_j, [\hat{h}_i, \hat{f}_j] = -m_{i,j}\hat{f}_j, &\qquad 1 \le i, j \le n,\\
[\hat{e}_i, \hat{f}_j,] = 0, &\qquad i \neq j, \{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\},\\
[\hat{e}_i, [\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j]] = 0, [\hat{f}_i, [\hat{f}_i, \hat{f}_j]] = 0, &\qquad |i - j| = 1,\\
[\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j] = 0, [\hat{f}_i, \hat{f}_j] = 0, &\qquad |i - j| > 1,\\
[\hat{e}_i, [\hat{e}_i, \hat{f}_j,]] = [\hat{f}_i, [\hat{f}_i, \hat{e}_j,]] = 0, &\qquad \{i, j\} = \{1, n\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2. *In fact, the map ϕ is an isomorphism (see* [Br]*). More over, if we set*

$$\hat{e}_n = E_{\alpha_n} \otimes 1 - E_{\alpha^\flat} \otimes t^{-1}, \quad \hat{f}_n = E_{-\alpha_n} \otimes 1 - E_{-\alpha^\flat} \otimes t,$$

then Proposition 4.1 still holds. However, these two maps are equivalent under the automorphism of $\hat{sl}_{2n}$ induced by $x \otimes t^m \mapsto x \otimes (-t)^m$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, an irreducible evaluation $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $V_{\lambda,a}$ is an irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module with highest weight λ, and the action is defined by:

$$(x \otimes t^m) \cdot v = a^m x \cdot v, \quad c \cdot v = 0.$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in V_{\lambda,a}$.

For any $V_{\lambda,a}$, the map ϕ induces a representation for $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$. Particularly, for any dominate weight λ, $V_{\lambda,a}$ is finite-dimensional.

Let $V_{\lambda,a}$ be the $2n$-dimensional irreducible module, i.e., the natural representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Particularly, we may assume that $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{2n}$. The following proposition is an important tool of the proof for our main results.

Proposition 4.2. *The following map induces a representation for $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_a : \quad & \mathbf{gim}(M_n) \to sl_{2n}\\
& \psi_a(e_1) = E_{1,2} - E_{n+2,n+1}, \quad \psi_a(f_1) = E_{2,1} - E_{n+1,n+2}\\
& \psi_a(e_2) = E_{2,3} - E_{n+3,n+2}, \quad \psi_a(f_2) = E_{3,2} - E_{n+2,n+3}\\
& \cdots\\
& \psi_a(e_{n-1}) = E_{n-1,n} - E_{2n,2n-1}, \quad \psi_a(f_{n-1}) = E_{n,n-1} - E_{2n-1,2n}\\
& \psi_a(e_n) = E_{n,n+1} + a^{-1}E_{1,2n}, \quad \psi_a(f_n) = E_{n+1,n} + aE_{2n,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Particularly, if $a \neq \pm 1$, the image of ψ_a is sl_{2n}, if $a = 1$, the image of ψ_a is sp_{2n}, and if $a = -1$, then the image of ψ_a is so_{2n}.

Remark 3. *In case of assuming that*

$$\hat{e}_n = E_{\alpha_n} \otimes 1 - E_{\alpha^\flat} \otimes t^{-1}, \quad \hat{f}_n = E_{-\alpha_n} \otimes 1 - E_{-\alpha^\flat} \otimes t,$$

Proposition 4.2 still holds after exchanging the positions of results when $a = 1$ and $a = -1$, respectively.

Proof. **Proof for Proposition 4.2.**

Set $x_i = \psi_a(e_i), y_i = \psi_a(f_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $h_i = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1} - E_{i+n,i+n} + E_{i+1+n,i+1+n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then it is easy to know that the elements $x_i, y_i (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ generate the Lie algebra

$$S = \left\{ X = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{bmatrix} \Big| A \in sl_n \right\},$$

which is isomorphic to sl_n.

More over,

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_{n-1}, x_n] &= E_{n-1,n+1} + a^{-1}E_{1,2n-1}, \\
[x_{n-2}, [x_{n-1}, x_n]] &= E_{n-2,n+1} + a^{-1}E_{1,2n-2}, \\
[x_{n-3}, [x_{n-2}, [x_{n-1}, x_n]]] &= E_{n-3,n+1} + a^{-1}E_{1,2n-3}, \\
\cdots \quad &\cdots \quad \cdots \\
[x_2 \cdots, [x_{n-1}, x_n] \cdots] &= E_{2,n+1} + a^{-1}E_{1,n+2}, \\
[x_1, [x_2 \cdots, [x_{n-1}, x_n] \cdots]] &= (1 + a^{-1})E_{1,n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[y_n, y_{n-1}] &= E_{n+1,n-1} + aE_{2n-1,1}, \\
[[y_n, y_{n-1}], y_{n-2}] &= E_{n+1,n-2} + aE_{2n-2,1}, \\
[[[y_n, y_{n-1}], y_{n-2}], y_{n-3}] &= E_{n+1,n-3} + aE_{2n-3,1}, \\
\cdots \quad &\cdots \quad \cdots \\
[\cdots [y_n, y_{n-1}], \cdots y_2] &= E_{n+1,2} + aE_{n+2,1}, \\
[[\cdots [y_n, y_{n-1}], \cdots y_2], y_1] &= (1 + a)E_{n+1,1},
\end{aligned}$$

then we divide the proof into three cases according to the value of a.

(1) *Case 1.* $a \neq \pm 1$.

By equations

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_1, [x_2 \cdots, [x_{n-1}, x_n] \cdots]] &= (1 + a^{-1})E_{1,n+1}, \\
[[\cdots [y_n, y_{n-1}], \cdots y_2], y_1] &= (1 + a)E_{n+1,1},
\end{aligned}$$

we have $E_{1,n+1}, E_{n+1,1} \in Im(\psi_a)$, and hence, for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
2E_{i+1,n+i+1} &= [y_i, [y_i, E_{i,n+i}]], \\
2E_{n+i+1,i+1} &= [x_i, [x_i, E_{n+i,i}]],
\end{aligned}$$

thus it holds that

$$E_{i,n+i}, E_{n+i,i} \in Im(\psi_a), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Set

$$\mathbf{x}_k = E_{n+k,k} \qquad \mathbf{y}_k = E_{k,n+k},$$

then

$$[x_n, \mathbf{x}_n] = E_{2n,n+1} - a^{-1}E_{1,n}, \ [y_n, \mathbf{y}_n] = E_{n+1,2n} - aE_{n,1}$$

this implies that $E_{2n,n+1}, E_{n+1,2n} \in Im(\psi_a)$, and hence, $E_{1,n}, E_{n,1} \in Im(\psi_a)$.

Further, the iteration formula

$$[y_i, E_{i,n}] = E_{i+1,n}, \qquad [E_{n,i}, x_i] = E_{n,i+1},$$

implies that $E_{n,i}, E_{i,n} \in Im(\psi_a)$ for all $i \leq n$, and thus $E_{n+i,2n}, E_{2n,n+i} \in Im(\psi_a)$ for all $i \leq n$.

We also have iteration formula

$$[E_{i,n}, y_{n-1}] = E_{i,n-1}, [x_{n-1}, E_{n,i}] = E_{n-1,i},$$
$$[E_{i,k+1}, y_k] = E_{i,k}, [x_k, E_{k+1,i}] = E_{k,i}, \quad \text{if } i < k,$$

and this implies that $E_{i,j}, E_{j,i} \in Im(\psi_a)$ for all $i < j \leq n$. Finally, we infer that $E_{i,j}, E_{i+n,j+n} \in Im(\psi_a)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$. Notice that

$$[[E_{2,n}, E_{n,2}], x_n] = -E_{n,n+1}, \ [[E_{2,n}, E_{n,2}], y_n] = E_{n+1,n},$$

so we have $E_{i,i+1}, E_{i+1,i} \in Im(\psi_a)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$, this is a set of Chevalley generators of sl_{2n}, and then $Im(\psi_a) = sl_{2n}$.

(2) *Case 2.* $a = 1$.

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{x}_1, y_i] = [\mathbf{y}_1, x_i] = 0 \qquad & i = 1, \cdots, n-1, \\
[\mathbf{x}_1, x_i] = [\mathbf{y}_1, y_i] = 0 \qquad & i = 2, \cdots, n-1, \\
[[\mathbf{x}_1, x_1], x_1] = 2E_{n+2,2} = 2\mathbf{x}_2, \qquad & [[\mathbf{y}_1, y_1], y_1] = 2E_{2,n+2} = 2\mathbf{y}_2, \\
[\mathbf{x}_2, x_1] = [\mathbf{y}_2, y_1] = 0, \qquad & [\mathbf{x}_1, [\mathbf{x}_1, x_1]] = [\mathbf{y}_1, [\mathbf{y}_1, y_1]] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

then the Lie algebra generated by S and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1$ is isomorphic to sp_{2n}. In particular, $\{x_i, y_i, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 | 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ is a set of Chevalley generators. The associated Dynkin diagram is



Notice that $x_i, y_i \in sp_{2n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\langle S, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle \subset Im(\psi_a) = \langle x_i, y_i | 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \subset sp_{2n},$$

the dimension relation $\dim\langle S, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle = \dim sp_{2n}$ implies that $Im(\psi_a) = sp_{2n}$.

(3) *Case 3.* $a = -1$. Then x_n, y_n have the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, respectively, and where $B = -B^t \in gl_n$ is anti-symmetric.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
x^\sharp &= [\cdots [y_n, y_{n-1}], \cdots y_2] = E_{n+1,2} - E_{n+2,1}, \\
y^\sharp &= [x_2, \cdots [x_{n-1}, x_n] \cdots] = E_{2,n+1} - E_{1,n+2},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{x}^\sharp, y_i] = [\mathbf{y}^\sharp, x_i] = 0, \qquad & i = 1, \cdots, n-1, \\
[\mathbf{x}^\sharp, x_i] = [\mathbf{y}^\sharp, y_i] = 0, \qquad & i = 1, 3, \cdots, n-1,
\end{aligned}$$

and the set $\{\mathbf{x}^\sharp, \mathbf{y}^\sharp, x_2, y_2\}$ generates a simple Lie algebra of type A_2.

Then the Lie algebra generated by S and $\mathbf{x}^\sharp, \mathbf{y}^\sharp$ is isomorphic to so_{2n}. In particular, $\{x_i, y_i, \mathbf{x}^\sharp, \mathbf{y}^\sharp | 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ is a set of Chevalley generators. Notice that $x_i, y_i \in so_{2n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\langle S, \mathbf{x}^\sharp, \mathbf{y}^\sharp \rangle \subset Im(\psi_a) = \langle x_i, y_i | 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \subset so_{2n},$$

the dimension relation $\dim\langle S, \mathbf{x}^\sharp, \mathbf{y}^\sharp\rangle = \dim so_{2n}$ implies that $Im(\psi_a) = so_{2n}$. □

5. Proof for Theorem 3.1

Let $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{k=1}^K \mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n}$ be the direct sum of K copies of $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{2n}$. Fix a K-tuple $\underline{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_K) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^K$ such that $a_k \neq a_j^{\pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Define the map

$$\psi_{\underline{a}} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^K \psi_{a_k} : \qquad \mathbf{gim}(M_n) \to \mathcal{A},$$

where $\psi_{a_k} : \mathbf{gim}(M_n) \to \mathfrak{g}_k$ is an analogue of the evaluation map defined in Proposition 4.2..

Theorem 3.1 can be proved by the following Lemmas 5.1-5.4.

Lemma 5.1. *If $a_k \neq \pm 1$ for every k, then $\psi_{\underline{a}}$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. For convenience, we let $\psi(x)^{[k]}$ denote the image of x under ψ_{a_k}. By the definition of ψ, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{a_k}(e_1) = (E_{1,2} - E_{n+2,n+1})^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_k}(f_1) = (E_{2,1} - E_{n+1,n+2})^{[k]}\\
&\psi_{a_k}(e_2) = (E_{2,3} - E_{n+3,n+2})^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_k}(f_2) = (E_{3,2} - E_{n+2,n+3})^{[k]}\\
&\cdots\\
&\psi_{a_k}(e_{n-1}) = (E_{n-1,n} - E_{2n,2n-1})^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_k}(f_{n-1}) = (E_{n,n-1} - E_{2n-1,2n})^{[k]}\\
&\psi_{a_k}(e_n) = (E_{n,n+1} + a_k^{-1}E_{1,2n})^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_k}(f_n) = (E_{n+1,n} + a_k E_{2n,1})^{[k]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$x_i^{[k]} = \psi_{a_k}(e_i), \qquad y_i^{[k]} = \psi_{a_k}(f_i).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_{n-1}^{[k]}, x_n^{[k]}] &= (E_{n-1,n+1} + a_k^{-1}E_{1,2n-1})^{[k]},\\
[x_{n-2}^{[k]}, [x_{n-1}^{[k]}, x_n^{[k]}]] &= (E_{n-2,n+1} + a_k^{-1}E_{1,2n-2})^{[k]},\\
&\cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots\\
[x_1^{[k]}, [x_2^{[k]} \cdots, [x_{n-1}^{[k]}, x_n^{[k]}] \cdots]] &= (1 + a_k^{-1})(E_{1,n+1})^{[k]},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[y_n^{[k]}, y_{n-1}^{[k]}] &= (E_{n+1,n-1} + a_k E_{2n-1,1})^{[k]},\\
[[y_n^{[k]}, y_{n-1}^{[k]}], y_{n-2}^{[k]}]] &= (E_{n+1,n-2} + a_k E_{2n-2,1})^{[k]},\\
&\cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots\\
[[\cdots [y_n^{[k]}, y_{n-1}^{[k]}], \cdots y_2^{[k]}], y_1^{[k]}] &= (1 + a_k)(E_{n+1,1})^{[k]},
\end{aligned}$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{1,n+1} &:= \Big[\sum_{k=1}^K (1 + a_k^{-1})(E_{1,1+n})^{[k]}, \sum_{k=1}^K (1 + a_k)(E_{n+1,1})^{[k]}\Big]\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K [(1 + a_k^{-1})(E_{1,1+n})^{[k]}, (1 + a_k)(E_{n+1,1})^{[k]}]\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K (2 + a_k + a_k^{-1})(E_{1,1} - E_{n+1,n+1})^{[k]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider its action on $\psi_{\underline{a}}(e_1) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_1^{[k]}$ and $\psi_{\underline{a}}(f_1) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} y_1^{[k]}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{ad}H_{1,n})(\psi_{\underline{a}}(e_1)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}(2+a_k+a_k^{-1})x_1^{[k]},\\
(-\mathrm{ad}H_{1,n})(\psi_{\underline{a}}(f_1)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}(2+a_k+a_k^{-1})y_1^{[k]},\\
(\mathrm{ad}H_{1,n})^2(\psi_{\underline{a}}(e_1)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}(2+a_k+a_k^{-1})^2x_1^{[k]},\\
(-\mathrm{ad}H_{1,n})^2(\psi_{\underline{a}}(f_1)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}(2+a_k+a_k^{-1})^2y_1^{[k]},\\
&\cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots\\
(\mathrm{ad}H_{1,n})^K(\psi_{\underline{a}}(e_1)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}(2+a_k+a_k^{-1})^Kx_1^{[k]},\\
(-\mathrm{ad}H_{1,n})^K(\psi_{\underline{a}}(f_1)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}(2+a_k+a_k^{-1})^Ky_1^{[k]}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $2+a_k+a_k^{-1} = 2+a_j+a_j^{-1}$, then $(a_ka_j-1)(a_k-a_j)=0$. By our assumption, every $2+a_k+a_k^{-1}$ is non-zero and $2+a_k+a_k^{-1} \neq 2+a_j+a_j^{-1}$ for all $k \neq j$. By the invertibility of Vandermonde matrix, we infer that

$$x_1^{[1]}, y_1^{[1]}, x_1^{[2]}, y_1^{[2]} \cdots, x_1^{[K]}, y_1^{[K]} \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}).$$

Let $H_{1,2}^{[k]} = [x_1^{[k]}, y_1^{[k]}] = (E_{1,1}-E_{2,2})^{[k]}$, and consider its action on $\psi_{\underline{a}}(e_2), \psi_{\underline{a}}(f_2)$, we infer that

$$x_2^{[1]}, y_2^{[1]}, x_2^{[2]}, y_2^{[2]} \cdots, x_2^{[K]}, y_2^{[K]} \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}).$$

Repeat this process, similar argument implies that

$$x_i^{[k]}, y_i^{[k]} \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}})$$

for every k. By Proposition 4.2, we can infer that $\mathfrak{g}_k \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}})$ for all k, and thus the map $\psi_{\underline{a}}$ is surjective. □

Lemma 5.2. *If $a_1 = 1$ and $a_k \neq -1$ for every $k \geq 2$, then $Im(\psi_{\underline{a}})$ is a direct sum of one copy of sp_{2n} and $K-1$ copies of sl_{2n}.*

Proof. We may repeat the proof for Lemma 5.1 until we obtain the result

$$x_i^{[k]}, y_i^{[k]} \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}),\ \forall 1 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

then $Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} Im(\psi_{a_k})$, by Proposition 4.2, $Im(\psi_{a_1})$ is isomorphic to sp_{2n}, and $Im(\psi_{a_k})$ is isomorphic to sl_{2n} for $k \geq 2$. □

Lemma 5.3. *If $a_1 = -1, a_2 = 1$, then $Im(\psi_{\underline{a}})$ is a direct sum of one copy of so_{2n}, one copy of sp_{2n} and $K-2$ copies of sl_{2n}.*

Proof. We may repeat the proof for Lemma 5.1 until we obtain $H_{1,n+1}$. Notice that, in this case

$$H_{1,n+1} := \sum_{k=2}^{K}(2+a_k+a_k^{-1})(E_{1,1}-E_{n+1,n+1})^{[k]}.$$

Then go on with the process in Lemma 5.2, we get that

$$x_i^{[k]}, y_i^{[k]} \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}),\ \forall 2 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

then

$$x_i^{[1]} = \psi_{\underline{a}}(e_i) - \big(\sum_{k=2}^{K} x_i^{[k]}\big) \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}), \qquad y_i^{[1]} = \psi_{\underline{a}}(f_i) - \big(\sum_{k=2}^{K} y_i^{[k]}\big) \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}),$$

it also holds that $Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} Im(\psi_{a_k})$. By Proposition 4.2, $Im(\psi_{a_1})$ is isomorphic to so_{2n}, $Im(\psi_{a_2})$ is isomorphic to sp_{2n}, and $Im(\psi_{a_k})$ is isomorphic to sl_{2n} for $k \geq 3$. □

Lemma 5.4. *If $a_1 = -1$ and $a_k \neq 1$ for $k \geq 2$, then $Im(\psi_{\underline{a}})$ is a direct sum of one copy of so_{2n} and $K-1$ copies of sl_{2n}.*

Proof. The proof is very similar to that for Lemma 5.3. □

6. Proof for Theorem 3.2

If $G(t)$ is a polynomial with non-zero constant term, we may define the quotient algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\langle \mathfrak{g} \otimes G(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]\rangle,$$

and denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/G(t)$. Note that, we may regard the central element c as 0 in the quotient algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/G(t)$ for all non-trivial polynomial $G(t)$.

Lemma 6.1. *If $G(t)|F(t)$, then $\hat{g}/G(t)$ is a quotient of $\hat{g}/F(t)$. Particularly,*

$$\hat{g}/G(t) \cong \frac{\hat{g}/F(t)}{g \otimes G(t)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/F(t)}.$$

Proof. This result follows from the homomorphism fundamental theorem. □

Lemma 6.2. *If $(x-a)^r|G(t)$ for some $r > 1$, then $\hat{g}/G(t)$ has a nilpotent ideal*

$$I = g \otimes \frac{G(t)}{(t-a)}\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}].$$

Proof. Obviously, for any $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $y \in I$, we have $[x, y] \in I$. Suppose that $r^* \geq r$ is the multiple of prime factor $t-a$, then for any $u \otimes f(t), v \otimes g(t) \in I$, we have $(t-a)^2|G(t)$, and

$$G(t)\big|\frac{G(t)^2}{(t-a)^2}, \quad \frac{G(t)^2}{(t-a)^2}\big|f(t)g(t),$$

and thus $[I, I] = 0$. □

If $G(t)$ has degree K, then it is well-known that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/G(t)$ is the direct sum of K copies of $\mathfrak{g}$ if $G(t)$ has K many different factors $t-a_i$, where $a_i \neq 0 (1 \leq i \leq K)$.

Let $G(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{k}(t-a_i)$, then there exists $c_i \neq 0$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \frac{G(t)}{t-a_i} = 1,$$

then

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/G(t) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \frac{G(t)}{t-a_i}/G(t) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}{t-a_i}.$$

Let ϕ be as defined in Section 4. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{e}_1, \cdots [\hat{e}_{n-1}, \hat{e}_n] \cdots] &= E_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n} \otimes (1+t^{-1}), \\
[\cdots [\hat{f}_{n-1}, \hat{f}_{n-2}], \cdots \hat{f}_1] &= E_{-\alpha_1-\cdots-\alpha_{n-1}} \otimes 1 - E_{\alpha_{n+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2n-1}} \otimes 1, \\
[[\cdots [\hat{f}_{n-1}, \hat{f}_{n-2}], \cdots \hat{f}_1], E_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n} \otimes (1+t^{-1})] &= (E_{\alpha_n} - E_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{2n-1}}) \otimes (1+t^{-1}), \\
[(E_{\alpha_n} - E_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{2n-1}}) \otimes (1+t^{-1}), \hat{f}_n] &= H_n \otimes (1+t^{-1}) + (H_1 + \cdots H_{2n-1}) \otimes (1+t) - c,
\end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$\Xi := H_n \otimes t^{-1} + (H_1 + \cdots + H_{2n-1}) \otimes t \in \phi(\mathbf{gim}(M_n)),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ad}\Xi)^m(\hat{e}_n), \hat{f}_n] &= H_n \otimes t^{-m} + (H_1 + \cdots + H_{2n-1}) \otimes t^m, \\
[(\mathrm{ad}\Xi)^m(\hat{e}_1), \hat{f}_1] &= H_1 \otimes t^m - H_{n+1} \otimes t^{-m},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $m > 0$. Similarly, we infer that

$$H_i \otimes t^{-m} - H_{n+i} \otimes t^m \in \phi(\mathbf{gim}(M_n)),$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, where $H_{2n} = -H_1 - \cdots - H_{2n-1}$.

Moreover,

$$(H_i \otimes t^{-m} - H_{n+i} \otimes t^m) \pm (H_i \otimes t^m - H_{n+i} \otimes t^{-m}) = (H_i \mp H_{n+i}) \otimes (t^m \pm t^{-m}).$$

Suppose that I is an ideal of $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ such that the quotient algebra $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I$ is finite-dimensional and semi-simple. Since ϕ is an isomorphism, we have that $\phi(I)$ is an ideal of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp} = \phi(\mathbf{gim}(M_n)) \cong \mathbf{gim}(M_n)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp}/\phi(I) \cong \mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I$. Note that every polynomial in variable $t + t^{-1}$ is a linear combination of $t^m + t^{-m} (m \geq 0)$. Then there must hold that

$$(H_i - H_{n+i}) \otimes \theta(t) \in \phi(I), \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where $\theta(t) = \eta(t + t^{-1})$ for some polynomial η. Otherwise, the elements

$$\left\{ H \otimes (t^j + t^{-j}) | j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

are linearly independent in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp}/\phi(I)$ for some $H \in span\{H_i - H_{n+i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, which contradicts to the assumption of the finite-dimension.

Actually, $\hat{h}_i = H_i - H_{n+i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathsf{h}_{fp} = span\{\hat{h}_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp}$ has a root decomposition

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp} = (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp})_0 + \sum_{\alpha} (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp})_\alpha,$$

where $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp})_0 = \{x \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp} | [\mathsf{h}_{fp}, x] = 0\}$ and $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp})_\alpha = \{x \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp} | [h, x] = \alpha(h)x, \forall h \in \mathsf{h}_{fp}\}$.

By Berman's result ([Br], Proposition 1.12), it holds that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp} \oplus M$, where M is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp}$-module and $[M, M] \subseteq \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp}$. If $x = \sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j \otimes p_j(t)\theta(t) \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp})_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$, then there exists $H \in \mathsf{h}_{fp}$ such that $\alpha(H) \neq 0$ and

$$x = \frac{1}{\alpha(H)} \sum_{j=1}^{d} [H \otimes \theta(t), x_j \otimes p_j(t)] \in \phi(I).$$

Otherwise, we infer that $\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j \otimes p_j(t) \notin \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp}$ and thus $x \notin \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp}$, which is a contradiction.

Moreover, $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp})_0 \subseteq \mathsf{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ and

$$(\mathsf{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t + t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c) \bigcap \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{fp} \quad = \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{C}\big((H_i - H_{n+i}) \otimes (t^m + t^{-m}) - \delta_{i,n} c\big),$$

then we have the following result:

Lemma 6.3. *We let J be the ideal of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by $\{H_i \otimes \theta(t) | 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$, then*

$$\phi(\mathbf{gim}(M_n)) \bigcap J \subseteq \phi(I),$$

and naturally there exists an epimorphism

$$\frac{\phi(\mathbf{gim}(M_n))}{\phi(\mathbf{gim}(M_n)) \bigcap J} \to \mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I.$$

For convenience, we let $\theta^*(t) = t^r \eta(t + t^{-1})$, where $r = \deg\eta$, then θ^* is a polynomial with $\theta^*(0) \neq 0$ and it holds that

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/J = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\theta^*(t).$$

Moreover, $\theta^*(t)$ and $\eta(t + t^{-1})$ have same roots.

Suppose that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\theta^*(t)$ has a Levi decomposition

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\theta^*(t) = S + U,$$

where S is semi-simple and U is the solvable radical. Let $\mathbf{p} : S + U \to (S + U)/U$ be the canonical map. If $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\theta^*(t) \subseteq S$ is the injective map, then $\mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{i}$ is injective. Hence we may assume that

$$\theta^*(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{K} (t - a_i),$$

where $a_i \neq a_j$ if $i \neq j$.

Out of questions, $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I$ is a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\theta^*(t)$ through isomorphism ϕ.

We may assume that $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_i \frac{\theta^*(t)}{t - a_i} = 1, \quad \frac{\theta^*(t)}{t - a_i} \equiv d_i (\mathrm{mod}\ t - a_i),$$

we also assume that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\theta^*(t) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{K} \mathfrak{g}_i$, and $\psi^{\clubsuit}$ is the canonical map from $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/\theta^*(t)$.

Let

$$\psi_{a_i} : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i = \frac{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \theta^*(t)/(t - a_i)\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]}{(t - a_i)} \cong \hat{\mathfrak{g}}/(t - a_i)$$

be the evaluation map, where $\psi_{a_i}(x \otimes t^m \frac{\theta^*(t)}{(t - a_i)}) = d_i a_i^m x^{[i]}$.

Lemma 6.4. *For any $x \otimes t^m$, we have*

$$\psi^{\clubsuit}(x \otimes t^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} c_i d_i \psi_{a_i}(x \otimes t^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} c_i d_i a_i^m x^{[i]}.$$

Particularly, $c_i d_i = 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq K$, then $\psi^{\clubsuit} = \psi_{\underline{a}}$, where $\underline{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_K)$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_i \frac{\theta^*(t)}{t-a_i} = 1$, evaluate $t = a_i$ in both sides, we have $c_i d_i = 1$. For any $x \otimes t^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\clubsuit}(x \otimes t^m) &= \sum_{i=1}^{K} c_i x \otimes t^m \frac{\theta^*(t)}{t-a_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{K} c_i d_i a_i^m x^{[i]} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} a_i^m x^{[i]}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.5. *Let $a \neq 0, \pm 1$, then associated to the map*

$$\psi_a \oplus \psi_{a^{-1}} : \mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I \to \hat{\mathbf{g}}/(t-a) \oplus \hat{\mathbf{g}}/(t-a^{-1}),$$

we have that

$$Im(\psi_a \oplus \psi_{a^{-1}}) \cong Im(\psi_a).$$

Proof. As we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \quad & \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \\ & x \otimes t^m \mapsto x \otimes t^{-m}, \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, $\psi_a \circ \sigma = \psi_{a^{-1}}$, hence we have that

$$\psi_a \oplus \psi_{a^{-1}} = \psi_a \circ (1 \oplus \sigma),$$

notice that $1 \oplus \sigma$ is diagonally injective, then the image of $\psi_a + \psi_{a^{-1}}$ is isomorphic to $Im(\psi_a)$. □

Proof for Theorem 2.3: Undoubtedly, since η is a polynomial in variable $t+t^{-1}$ and $\theta^*(0) \neq 0$, we have that $(t-a)|\theta^*(t)$ if and only if $(t-a^{-1})|\theta^*(t)$. By Lemma 6.4, $\psi^{\clubsuit} = \psi_{\underline{a}}$. Here, $a_k \in \underline{a}$ if and only if $a_k^{-1} \in \underline{a}$. However, the approach of proof for Lemma 5.1 still play a major role in this case. Follow the process of the proof for Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3, we can successfully reach that:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{[k]}, y_i^{[k]} \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}), \qquad & a_k = \pm 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \\ x_i^{[k]} + x_i^{[j]}, y_i^{[k]} + y_i^{[j]} \in Im(\psi_{\underline{a}}), \qquad & a_k \neq \pm 1, a_k a_j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 6.5, the subalgebra generated by $x_i^{[k]}, y_i^{[k]} (a_k = \pm 1, 1 \leq i \leq n)$ is one of so_{2n}, sp_{2n}, and the subalgebra generated by $x_i^{[k]} + x_i^{[j]}, y_i^{[k]} + y_i^{[j]} (a_k a_j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n)$ is sl_{2n}. Since $t-1$ or $t+1$ appears in $\theta(t)$ at most one time, each of so_{2n} and sp_{2n} appears at most one time. Then $\mathbf{gim}(M_n)/I$ is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$.

The proof is completed.

Acknowledgements.

The first author is partially supported by NSERC of Canada. The second author is supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11001110, 11271131) and *Jiangsu Government Scholarship for Overseas Studies*.

References

[ABnG] B. Allison, G. Benkart and Y. Gao, *Lie algebras graded by the root system BC_r, $r \geq 2$*, Mem. A.M.S.(751)158(2002)

[BG] S. Bhargava and Y. Gao, *Realizations of BCr-graded intersection matrix algebras with grading subalgebras of type $B_r, r \geq 3$*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 263 (2013), No. 2, 257-281.

[BnZ] G. Benkart and E. Zelmanov, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and intersection matrix algebras*, Invent. Math. 126 (1996), 1-45.

[Br] S. Berman, *On generators and relations for certain involutory subalgebras of Kac-Moody Lie Algebras*, Comm. Alg. 17 (1989), 3165-3185.

[BrJT] S. Berman, E. Jurisich and S. Tan, *Beyond Borcherds Lie Algebras and Inside*, Trans. AMS 353 (2001), 1183-1219.

[BrM] S. Berman and R.V. Moody, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and the intersection matrix algebras of Slowdowy*, Invent. Math. 108 (1992), 323-347.

[C] R. Carter, *Lie Algebras of Finite and Affine Type*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[CM] V. Chari, A. A. Moura, *Spectral characters of finite-dimensional representations of affine algebras*, Journal of Algebra 279 (2004) 820-839.

[EMY] S. Eswara Rao, R.V. Moody and T. Yokonuma, *Lie algebras and Weyl groups arising from vertex operator representations*, Nova J. of Algebra and Geometry 1 (1992), 15-57.

[G] Y. Gao, *Involutive Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and compact forms of IM algebras*, Math. Zeitschrift 223 (1996), 651- 672.

[GbK] O. Gabber and V.G. Kac, *On Defining Relations of Certain Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 5 (1981), 185-189.

[H] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer, New York, 1972.

[J] N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.

[K] V.G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[M1] R. V. Moody,*Euclidean Lie algebras*, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 1432C1454.

[M2] R. V. Moody,*Simple quotients of Euclidean Lie algebras*, Canad. J. Math. 22 (1970), 839C846.

[N] E. Neher, *Lie algebras graded by 3-graded root systems and Jordan pairs covered by grids*, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), no. 2, 439-491.

[P] L. Peng, *Intersection matrix Lie algebras and Ringel-Hall Lie algebras of tilted algebras*, Representations of Algebra Vol. I, II, 98-108, Beijing Normal Univ. Press, Beijing, 2002.

[S1] P. Slodowy, *Beyond Kac-Moody algebras and inside*, Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 5 (1986), 361-371.

[S2] P. Slodowy, *Singularitäten, Kac-Moody Lie-Algebren, assoziierte Gruppen und Verallgemeinerungen*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Bonn, March 1984.